

It is of interest to compare the calculation of the preceding Ryals trail to the Taylor trail, for indeed they may both represent the same individual(s). -3B in the Taylor trial calculates:

**Stature:**

$$11.5" \times 6.6 = 75.9" (6' 4")$$

**Maximum stride length:**

$$75.9" \times 1.2 = 91"$$

**Maximum pace length:**

$$75.9" \times .58 = 44"$$

**Minimum stride length:**

$$75.9 \times .51 = 38.7"$$

**Foot width/length index:**

$$4.2" \times 100/11.5" = 36.5$$

**Heel width/total length index:**

$$3.4" \times 100/11.5" = 29.5$$

The calculations on the adult prints of the two trails are very similar. They may indeed have been made by the same individual, and the slight variations may simply be the result of recording receptivity in the substrate.

It is of additional interest to compare the Ryals and Taylor adult prints with the Sir George prints six limestone layers above at the McFall I site. Limestone deposition of a cyclical nature (evidenced by the polystrate *Lepidodendron* root system fossil, photo on page 367) in brief periods must be accounted for in the footprint scenario.